



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/208,629	12/08/1998	SHAUN R. COUGHLIN	06510/060DIV	8370

25226 7590 07/02/2002
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 PAGE MILL RD
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018

EXAMINER

PAK, MICHAEL D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

#24
MB
08/56**MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP**

Attorneys at Law
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, California 94304-1018
Telephone: (650) 813-5600
Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

FAX RECEIVED

JUL 17 2002

GROUP 1600**OFFICIAL**

To: Barbara Frieson
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Facsimile: (703) 305-3592
Telephone: (703) 305-3134

From: Kathy Farrell**Date: July 16, 2002**

We are transmitting a total of **6** pages (including this page).
Original or hard copy to follow if this box is checked ☐.

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct: 8953/KAF3

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

Comments:

Ms. Frieson:

Per our phone conversation, enclosed please find the wrong action for serial number 09/208,629.
Please send the correct action to Morrison & Foerster, 755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304.
Thank you for your help.

Office Action SummaryApplication No.
09/208,629

Applicant(s)

Coughlin et al.

Examiner

Michael Pak

Art Unit

1646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

RECEIVED

JUL 08 2002

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 13, 2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5-8 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claims 5-8 and 13-15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ntc appl - 1/02/03
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-949)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DOCKETED (TA) 9/8/02 10/2/02

208129
Serial Number: 09/489,551
Art Unit: 1646

FAX RECEIVED

JUL 17 2002 1

GROUP 1600

DETAILED ACTION

*Response to Amendment***OFFICIAL**

1. The amendment filed on 11 April 2002 (Paper No. 11) has been entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11 April 2002 (Paper No. 11) have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 2, 4-6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheatham et al. (U) in view of Jhun et al. (V).

The reason for the rejection was set forth in the previous office action.

Newly amended claims and the new claim limitations are still taught by Cheatham et al. and Jhun et al. as discussed in the last office action.

Applicants argue each reference separately as not teaching all the claim limitations. However, with respect to the argument against the references individually, it is noted that the

2086 29
Serial Number: 09/489,551
Art Unit: 1646

2

references are not relied on for what they teach individually, but rather for what they teach collectively. Cheatham et al. and Jhun et al. teach collectively all the limitations of the claims.

Applicants argue that it would have been obvious to try to combine the teachings of the reference. However, the motivation for combining the teachings of the reference was set forth in the previous office action. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the teachings of Jhun et al. because of the expressed interest of Jhun et al. to understand the relationship between SH2 domain interaction with both mitogenesis and the metabolic effects of insulin in insulin responsive tissues (page 7472, right column). Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the references because the references are analogous art.

Applicants argue that the expectation of success of the combined teaching is not absolutely predictable of success until reduction to practice. However, the standard for expectation of success is not absolute predictable success. The techniques of the references were well known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. No claims are allowed.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of

205129
Serial Number: 09/489,551
Art Unit: 1646

3

rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak, whose telephone number is (703) 305-7038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Michael D. Pak
Michael Pak
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1646
20 June 2002